

WARRENVILLE, IL 60555

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,294	03/20/2002		Raymond Mikielski	217857US0PCT	8812
4249	7590	03/09/2004		EXAMINER	
CAROL WI	LSON		NUTTER, NATHAN M		
BP AMERICA	A INC.				
MAIL CODE 5 EAST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4101 WINFIE		D		1711	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(

,			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,294	MIKIELSKI, RAYMOND ET	ΓAL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status	· ·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 11-30 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	· · · · · ·	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	÷
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Interpretations

Claim 11 recites "a flexible composition based on one or more propylene polymers" and further includes "a plastomer prepared...(using)... a metallocene catalyst,... consist(ing) of a random copolymer of ethylene and at least one C₃-C₁₀ alpha-olefin". Essentially, while there must be one polypropylene resin and a polyethylene resin, there may be several propylene resins with a polyethylene resin.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 26 recites a "composition based on one or more propylene polymers according to Claim 18, comprising a plurality of random copolymers of propylene A), a plurality of plastomers B) or a mixture thereof." It is not clear from reading this claim whether the invention may embrace one or more propylene polymers <u>with</u> an ethylene polymer, as pointed out in the claim interpretation above. The choices appear to be:

- 1) a plurality of random copolymers of propylene,
- 2) a plurality of plastomers, or
- 3) a mixture of both 1) and 2), above.

Art Unit: 1711

This is not in agreement with the rest of the claims on this point. As such, the claim appears to be vague and confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18-20 and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tanaka et al, newly cited.

The reference to Tanaka et al teaches the manufacture of a polymer blend essentially identical to that recited and claimed herein in that a random copolymer of propylene, having the characteristics of melting point and melt flow index as recited, may be admixed with a random copolymer of ethylene and at least one C₃-C₁₀ alphaolefin, having the characteristics of density, melt flow index and molecular mass distribution as recited, in amounts as specified in the instant claims. The reference further teaches the employment of a propylene/ethylene/1-butene random copolymer. Note the Abstract, column 2 (lines 41-64), column 3 (lines 10-67), and the Production Examples at columns 21-22. All physical characteristics for the polymers mixed are shown by the reference as overlapping directly with those recited and claimed herein. The flexural modulus values expressed in claims 20 and 25 would be inherent in the

Art Unit: 1711

compositions produced in accordance with the teachings of the reference since all other parameters of compositional limitations and physical characteristics are embraced by the patent compositions.

Claims 18, 19, 21, 24, 25, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ueda et al (EP 0 704 462 A1), cited by applicants.

The reference to Ueda et al teaches the production of the contemplated polymer blend at the Abstract, page 2 (line 37) to page 3 (line 3). Note page 13 (line 58) to page 15 (line 47) for the polymers employed and their physical characteristics that overlap directly with those recited and claimed herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. cited and for the reasons set out above.

Further, the reference teaches at column 3 (lines 45-54) the selection of C_3 - C_8 alpha-olefin, which range is embraced *in toto* by the recitation in claim 18 of " C_3 - C_{10} alpha-olefin", and choice of any of those monomers, as recited in claims 21, 22 or 30, would clearly have been an obvious modification to the composition, as directed by the

Application/Control Number: 10/019,294

Art Unit: 1711

teachings of the patent. As such, the instant claims would be clearly within the skill of an ordinary artisan from the teachings of the patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

29 February 2004